UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,716	11/15/2005	Thomas Sagel	SAGEL4	6838	
	BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.				
624 NINTH STREET, NW			ING, MATTHEW W		
	SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/534,716	SAGEL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MATTHEW W. ING	3637		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EDATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 2.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	-		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-30 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/534,716 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 11, 2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fulterer (6,199,966) in view of Cirocco (5,951,132).
- 2. Fulterer teach(es) the structure substantially as claimed, including a rigid frame having an upper segment (Fig. 9) and a lower segment (16); an upper rail (28) and a lower rail (4); wherein a furniture front (Fig. 9) is fixed on the rigid frame; wherein the upper segment (Fig. 9) of the rigid frame is engaged to the upper rail (28) and the lower segment (16) of the rigid frame is engaged (via, e.g., 32) on the lower rail (4); wherein the rigid frame is adjustable vertically between the upper rail (28) and the lower rail (4) by a height adjustment screw (15) engaged between the lower frame segment (16) and the lower rail (4); and a locking means (combination of 33 & 37).

Art Unit: 3637

- 3. The only difference between Fulterer and the invention as claimed is that Fulterer fail(s) to teach two height adjustment screws spaced from each other horizontally along the length of the lower rail; and a spring biased locking latch slidably engaged against the screws in the lower frame segment when in the locked condition for locking the rigid frame between the upper rail and the lower rail.
- 4. Cirocco, however, teaches a spring biased locking latch (122) slidably engaged against a protrusion (132) in an adjacent frame segment (30) when in the locked condition. Additionally, regarding the quantity of height adjustment screws, mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device has been held to involve only routine skill in the art.
- 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a second height adjustment screw in order to provide additional structural support; and to add a latch, as taught by Cirocco, to the structure of Fulterer, in order to permit retention of the frame thereof when not in use, thereby providing the structure substantially as claimed.
- 6. Regarding claim 16, Fulterer teaches a height adjustment screw (15) screwed (col. 6, lines 52-54) into the lower rail and each have a head (upper portion of 15) which extends through bottom and top sides of the lower rail and a support surface (34) of the two height adjustment screws engages under the bottom side of the lower rail. It is noted that the support surface (upper surface of 34) engages Item 31; and that such engagement occurs "under the bottom side of the lower rail". Alternately, it is noted that the upper surface of 31 can be termed a "support surface" and that said support surface engages the reminder of Item 15 "under the bottom side of the lower rail". Additionally, Cirocco teaches a spring biased locking latch engaging a recess between a head and a bottom side of an elongated structure when in the locked condition.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,716 Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

7. Regarding claim 17, Fulterer teaches a head (upper portion of 15) that can be turned (via 31) in (i.e., via threaded connection (col. 6, lines 52-54) and between the walls (8, 9) of said lower rail) the lower rail to vertically adjust the frame between the upper rail and the lower rail (col. 7, lines 53-56).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

9. Although claims 19-30 were previously withdrawn from consideration in the Office Action mailed 5/11/09, the status identifiers of these claims in the amendment submitted 8/11/09 do not reflect this fact. It is requested that, in any future correspondence, applicant clearly label which claims have been withdrawn. It is noted that any reply which fails to correctly label withdrawn claims as such may be deemed non-responsive, as per 37 C.F.R. 1.121(c) et seq. and MPEP 714(II)(C).

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 11. In response to applicant's arguments regarding the inclusion of a second screw, it is noted that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device has been held to involve only routine skill in the art; that the inclusion of a second adjustment screw between slidable structures is well known in the art (see, e.g., Oogami (4,142,272) and Bowers (5,379,487)); and

Application/Control Number: 10/534,716 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637

that adequate motivation to perform such a modification can be found in the desire to provide additional structural support to another portion of the upper rail. As such, applicant's arguments to the contrary are not found persuasive.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jennings (4,860,903) & Ferguson (6,364,377) teach latches.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW W. ING whose telephone number is (571)272-6536. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Janet M. Wilkens/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637

MWI 2 November 2009